

REMARKS

As amended, claim 8 calls for a medium for storing instructions that enable a processor-based system to difference a cached version and a current version of an Internet web page, indicate the difference between the cached and current versions, and provide a graphical user interface of a navigation bar with a subtract button image, the subtract button image selectable to toggle between indicating the difference between the cached and the current versions and indicating the current version.

Ball does not have a subtract button image on a graphical user interface of a navigation bar that is selectable to toggle between indicating the difference between the cached and current versions of an Internet web page and indicating the current version of the web page. For example, Ball has a DIFF link. *See*, Figures 12 and 13. When selected, the DIFF link invokes htmlldiff to display changes in a page. 20:26-53. The DIFF link is not on a navigation bar. Rather, it is a link in an html document. 20:26-39. Further, the DIFF link is not displayed with the changes in a page. *See*, Figure 11. As such, the DIFF link is not selectable to toggle between indicating the difference between the cached and current versions and indicating the current version.

Similarly, Webster fails to disclose a subtract button image on a graphical user interface of a navigation bar that is selectable to toggle between indicating the difference between the cached and current versions of an Internet web page and indicating the current version of the web page. First, Webster is not directed toward the Internet. In fact, Webster never mentions a network, much less the Internet. Rather, Webster is concerned with editing documents on a word processor. 1:8-15. Pursuant to Webster, two files are viewed side-by-side in two different windows. 4:12-46; Figure 4. When the COMPARE command is clicked on, the files can be edited with the differences being displayed in a third window. *Id.* Thus, the user sees the documents for comparison and the differences between the two documents simultaneously. Because the documents and differences are viewed simultaneously, there is no need for a user of Webster's invention to toggle between two windows, much less use the COMPARE command to do so. As such, Webster too fails to disclose a graphical user interface of a navigation bar with a subtract button image, the subtract button image selectable to toggle between indicating the difference between the cached and the current versions and indicating the current version.

In contrast, according to some embodiments of the present invention, a graphical user interface of a navigation bar may be provided that includes an image of a subtract button. The subtract button image may be selected to indicate the difference between a cached version and a current version of an Internet web page. The subtract button image may be selected again to indicate the current version of the Internet web page.

Because neither Ball nor Webster teaches or suggests every limitation as claimed, claim 8 and the claims depending thereon are believed to be patentable over the cited references.

Claim 15 includes limitations that are similar to that of claim 8. Thus, for at least the reasons given above, claim 15 and the claims dependent thereon are also believed to be patentable over the cited art.


New claim 29 calls for retrieving a current version of an Internet web page to compare to a cached version of the page, displaying a page including an indication of the difference between the current and cached versions, and toggling between displaying the page including an indication of the difference and displaying the current page, the toggling in response to user selection of a single button on a graphical user interface.

As was explained above, neither Ball's DIFF link nor Webster's COMPARE command enable toggling between indicating the difference between current and cached versions of an Internet web page and indicating the current version of the page. For the same reasons, neither Ball nor Webster teach or suggest toggling between displaying a page including an indication of the difference and displaying a current page, the toggling in response to user selection of a single button in a graphical user interface. Accordingly, new claim 29 and the claims dependent thereon are believed to be patentable over the cited references.

In view of the amendments and remarks above, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. The Examiner's prompt attention in accordance therewith is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 29, 2004


Rhonda L. Sheldon, Reg. No. 50,457
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100
Houston, TX 77024-1805
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Customer No. 21906